

Response to Restriction Requirement
WAY03-GN002
Serial No. 09/800,563

REMARKS


Examiner Interview

The undersigned and Examiner Huynh discussed over the telephone the Examiner's restriction requirement in the instant application on August 5, 2004. It should be noted that Applicant has not received a formal Office action, other than the Interview Summary, setting forth the Examiner's restriction.

The August 17 Interview Summary indicates that all pending claims, 1-32, are subject to a restriction or election requirement. As indicated in the Interview Summary, it is alleged that the application contains claims directed to four patentably distinct inventions: (1) Species I corresponding to Claims 1-15; (2) Species II corresponding to Claims 16-22; (3) Species III corresponding to Claims 23-26; and (4) Species IV corresponding to Claims 27-32. Applicant elected to prosecute claims 27-32 corresponding to Species IV, **without traverse**, during the telephone interview.

In the event that the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Ryan L. Willis
Reg. No. 48,787

Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP
425 Walnut Street, Suite 1800
Cincinnati, OH 45202-3957
513-357-9663
willis@taftlaw.com
30074